2237

An X-ray Study of the Hydrogen Bonding in the Crystalline L-Arginine Phosphate Monohydrate Complex

By WOLFRAM SAENGER

Max-Planck-Institut für experimentelle Medizin, Abteilung Chemie, Göttingen, Germany (BRD)

AND KARL G. WAGNER

Gesellschaft für molekularbiologische Forschung Stöckheim/Braunschweig, Germany (BRD)

(Received 18 October 1971 and in revised form 23 February 1972)

The crystal structure of the L-arginine phosphate monohydrate complex has been determined from 1453 three-dimensional X-ray diffractometer data and refined by least-squares methods to a residual of R = 3.9%. The X-ray results suggest that the L-arginine molecule is deprotonated at the carboxyl group and protonated at the guanidyl and amino groups and that the phosphate ion carries one negative charge. The L-arginine molecule is in a less extended conformation than found previously and both the guanidinium group and the carboxyl-C^{α} system are essentially planar. The crystal structure is interlaced by an intricate hydrogen-bonding scheme with apparently one bifurcated hydrogen bond involving atom N^{ϵ}. The symmetrical guanidinium-phosphate ion geometry proposed for the interaction of basic proteins with DNA is not found in the L-arginine-phosphate monohydrate structure. It may therefore be inferred that the symmetrical complex is not necessarily preferred over a more complicated hydrogen bonding arrangement. The same structure has been published recently by Aoki, Nagamo & Iitaka (*Acta Cryst.* (1971). **B27**, 11).

Introduction

The interaction of basic polypeptides, such as histones and protamines, with nucleic acids is partially governed by ionic bonds between phosphate residues and the basic amino acid side chains of lysine and arginine. Studies with polyamino acid-DNA complexes revealed that the arginine guanidinium-phosphate ion bond is stronger than the charged lysine-phosphate ion bond (Leng & Felsenfeld, 1966; Suwalsky, 1968; Miller & Inbar, 1969). Similar evidence comes from binding studies of nucleotides with basic polyamino acids (Wagner & Arav, 1968; Wagner, 1971). A symmetrical guanidinium-phosphate ion bond enhanced by two hydrogen bonds and a coupled charge resonance has been proposed to explain these facts (Suwalsky, 1968; Lewin, 1969). In order to test this supposition, direct X-ray studies on a crystalline L-arginine phosphate complex, Fig. 1, have been undertaken.

After we had completed this structure it came to our attention that the same study had been undertaken by Aoki, Nagamo & Iitaka (1971). The structural investigations of the Japanese colleagues were based on film data, taken with Cu K α radiation and measured with a microdensitometer. The final discrepancy index R which they obtained is 0.095 for 1229 data, the estimated standard deviations of the interatomic distances average 0.017 Å and two out of the nineteen protons were not located. As the data utilized in our work were collected by diffractometer measurement, it was possible to refine the structure somewhat more completely; the estimated standard deviation in bond lengths has been reduced to 0.004 Å.

Materials and methods

The chloride counterions of anion exchange resin $AG \ 1-X2$ (Bio. Rad. Labs.) were replaced by phosphate ions, the *p*H was adjusted to 6 and a column was prepared. L-Arginine. HCl (Calbiochem) dissolved in water was added and the arginine-containing fractions were collected, lyophilized and recrystallized from water. Chemical analysis of the crystal obtained in this way indicated a ratio of one mole of phosphate ion per mole of arginine.

Adjusting the pH of the anion exchange resin to 8.5 and applying the same procedure as outlined above yielded a compound with a phosphate:arginine ratio of 1:2 which, however, did not crystallize but behaved like a very viscous gel.

A thick, prismatic L-arginine phosphate crystal measuring $0.2 \times 0.3 \times 0.2$ mm was mounted on a glass fibre along the longest dimension and used for all subsequent X-ray crystallographic investigations.

The monoclinic space group $P2_1$ and cell parameters were derived by photographic methods and refined diffractometrically to:

> $a = 10.898 \pm 0.003 \text{ Å}$ $b = 7.910 \pm 0.002$ $c = 7.339 \pm 0.002$ $\beta = 97.97 \pm 0.03^{\circ};$

Systematic extinctions for 0k0, k = odd.

Volume of the unit cell = 627 Å^3 .

Molecular weight $(C_6H_{15}O_2N_4^+.H_2PO_4^-.H_2O) = 291$. The density of the crystals, which was determined using the flotation technique $(D_{obs} = 1.53 \text{ g.cm}^{-3})$, is in agreement with the density calculated from the crystallographic asymmetric unit of the L-arginine phosphate monohydrate complex assuming two formula units per unit cell $(D_{calc} = 1.542 \text{ g.cm}^{-3})$.

Using the ω , 2θ scan technique the intensities of 1453 reflexions were measured by means of an automatic four-circle diffractometer equipped with a Mo X-ray tube (Zr-filtered Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.70926$ Å). The data were converted to structure factor amplitudes by application of the usual geometrical factors but were not corrected for absorption. A sharpened Patterson function Fourier synthesis revealed the location of the phosphate anion and the remaining atoms were located by standard heavy atom techniques. The structure amplitudes were assigned weights $1/\sigma_{\text{Fobs}}$ according to counting statistics (Stout & Jensen, 1968) and data with $F_{\text{obs}} < 3\sigma_{\text{Fobs}}$ were treated as being unobserved. The atomic positional and thermal parameters were refined by least-squares full-matrix techniques minimizing the quantity $\sum (|F_{\text{obs}}| - |F_{\text{calc}}|)^2 / \sigma_{\text{F}^2\text{obs}}$ (Bu-



Fig. 1. Chemical formula of the L-arginine phosphate complex and numbering scheme used in the text. The IUPAC nomenclature is C^{α} for C(2), C^{β} for C(3), C^{γ} for C(4), C^{δ} for C(5), N^{ε} for N(2), C^{η} for C(6), N^{η 1} for N(3) and N^{η 2} for N(4).

Tab	ble	1.	Atomic	coordinates	and	thermal	parameters
-----	-----	----	--------	-------------	-----	---------	------------

Atomic coordinates are in fractions of the unit-cell axes and thermal parameters are in the form $T = \exp[-(\beta_{11}h^2 + \beta_{22}k^2 + \beta_{33}l^2 + 2\beta_{12}hk + 2\beta_{13}hl + 2\beta_{23}kl)]$, multiplied by 10⁴. The figures in parentheses are the standard deviations of the last digits, estimated from the least-squares variance-covariance matrix.

	x	y	Z	β_{11}	β22	β_{33}	β_{12}	β_{13}	β ₂₃
р	-118(0)	7500 (0)	1759 (0)	51 (0)	50 (1)	79(1)	0(0)	14(0)	5 (0)
$\dot{0}$	149(2)	8400 (3)	59 (3)	101(2)	102(4)	115 (3)	9(2)	55 (2)	26 (3)
O(2)	122(2)	8509 (3)	3491(2)	69(2)	74(3)	102(3)	-1(2)	12(2)	-8(3)
O(3)	-1531(2)	6970 (3)	1337(3)	59(2)	107(4)	147(4)	-13(2)	-3(2)	34 (3)
C(4)	638(2)	5825 (3)	2079 (3)	76(2)	74(3)	158 (4)	18(2)	2(2)	0(3)
O(5)	3612(2)	8354 (3)	9198(3)	90(2)	98 (4)	181 (5)	-10(2)	-40(3)	8 (4)
0(6)	2367(2)	9483 (3)	6860 (3)	69(2)	73 (3)	116(4)	0(2)	11(2)	2(3)
O(W)	4747(2)	5850 (4)	1535(3)	105(2)	121 (4)	122(4)	5(3)	11(2)	19 (4)
N(1)	769 (2)	6830 (3)	6843 (3)	50(2)	73(3)	103(4)	0(2)	17(2)	5(3)
N(2)	3690 (2)	2242(4)	4923 (3)	$\frac{30}{70}$ (2)	87 (4)	98 (4)	6(2)	25(2)	8 (3)
N(3)	2300 (3)	647(5)	3040 (4)	85 (3)	129 (5)	181 (6)	-30(3)	$\frac{28}{28}$ (3)	1(5)
N(4)	3175(2)	2938 (4)	1859 (3)	74(2)	148 (6)	101(0) 105(4)	-40(3)	-10(2)	20(4)
C(1)	2751(3)	8284 (4)	7908 (4)	56 (2)	72 (4)	98 (5)	3(2)	18(2)	-17(4)
C(2)	2116(2)	6567 (4)	7515 (4)	52(2)	66 (4)	91 (5)	6(2)	17(2)	2(3)
C(3)	2701(3)	5552 (4)	6085 (4)	59 (2)	79 (4)	85 (4)	5(2)	13 (2)	-13(4)
C(4)	3952(2)	4801 (4)	6858 (4)	51(2)	103 (5)	95 (5)	4(3)	14(2)	-12(4)
C(5)	4486 (2)	3693 (4)	5470 (4)	45(2)	109 (5)	105 (5)	2(3)	23 (2)	-14(4)
C	3064(2)	1957 (4)	3288 (4)	43(2)	96 (5)	117 (5)	$\bar{0}(2)$	26(2)	0 (4)
H(I)	2135(40)	5974 (67)	8681 (55)	42	79	94	0	8	0
$\dot{H}(2)$	336 (36)	7533 (75)	7784 (55)	45	83	99	Ō	9	0
H(3)	282 (40)	5901 (67)	6637 (55)	45	83	99	Ō	9	0
H(4)	641(36)	7579 (74)	5874 (54)	45	83	99	0	9	0
H(5)	2769 (39)	6244 (69)	4915 (54)	46	87	103	Õ	9	0
H(6)	2117(40)	4672 (68)	5588 (58)	46	87	103	0	9	0
H(7)	4675(41)	5699(71)	7289 (58)	52	96	114	Õ	10	0
H(8)	3901 (39)	4109 (69)	8020 (59)	52	96	114	0	10	0
H (9)	4577 (41)	4333 (69)	4444 (65)	49	92	109	Ó	10	0
H(10)	5337 (40)	3336 (70)	5971 (62)	49	92	109	0	10	0
H(11)	3687 (37)	1484 (68)	5650 (56)	51	95	113	0	10	0
H(12)	2277 (48)	169 (79)	3712 (68)	76	143	169	0	15	0
H(13)	1886 (47)	560 (83)	2031 (72)	76	143	169	0	15	0
H(14)	3655 (42)	3618 (73)	2085 (61)	63	117	139	0	13	0
H(15)	2639 (41)	2681 (72)	971 (61)	63	117	139	0	13	0
H(16)	5214 (46)	5253 (78)	1181 (67)	70	130	154	0	14	0
H(17)	4374 (47)	6551 (75)	810 (64)	70	130	154	0	14	0
H(18)	- 1795 (44)	6251 (68)	2143 (61)	58	108	128	0	12	0
H(19)	382 (43)	4994 (78)	1045 (64)	64	120	143	0	13	0

WOLFRAM SAENGER AND KARL G. WAGNER

Table 2. Observed and calculated structure factors multiplied by 10

に、 ろう はほけっ ははらら きゅんにろうちほう ビル・スキャ あんでき そうちょう そう そう そう そう そう そう ちょう きょう きょう きょう きょう きょう きょう きょう きょう きょう き
),则是我在有过有过方面不可以有的研究所有的不可不可的可能的可能们没有了的多时时,我们没有的这种的专家的都我们是我们这些的可以不完全。可能不能有不可能不可能有的心理和我们不可能不能是我们的心理的。你们 化乙基乙基 化乙基乙基 化乙基乙基 化乙基乙基 化乙基乙基 化乙基乙基 化乙基乙基 化乙基乙基 化乙基乙基 化乙基乙基乙基 化乙基乙基乙基乙基
× 33533334444444444444444444444444444444
- 5555555555555555555555555555555555555

Table 2 (cont.)

н	ĸ	t	F (A S	F C 4L	н		L.	F035	5746	н	۲	٤	1015	FCAL	н	×	ι	FORS	FORL		ĸ	ι	F 145	5 mag	۲	*	ι	4045	F.C.A.L	н	*	ι	1085	F C AL
		- 2			:		-1	150	151	1	c	-1	65	63	٩		••	147	149	1.	- 2	- ?	117	104	11	1	-1			12				
						2	- :	105			- 2		1.24	11.4				21	50		- 1	-,		21			- <u>-</u>			- 11				
÷		-1	11		5			120		-						2				1	÷									12		- 24		
ż		-2	73	7.	,	÷.	14	1.	26		'n		20	20			3				÷.	.,		12		- 1				12	÷.	- 6	24	23
,		- 5	25	27		ŝ	-6		47	4		- 4	27			ŝ	- 1			i.	- 1		52		ii	i	- 6		56	12	i	- 5	3.0	34
4		4	51	5.7		3	-7	34	89	•	-1	- 7	Ċ,		4		- 2	- 75	41	10	÷.	1	52	67	- 11	÷		41	3.4	12	ż		54	51
	11	5	• 1	sn	A	4	5	12	15	q	1	•	11	11	4	Ś	-1	67	• 7	10	- 3	•	10.3	102	11	2		32	29	12	2	2	24	22
•	ч	•	150	153	\$	*	٠		32	•	1	5	24	26	4	٩	- 2		8 -	1.0		1	25	26	11	2	2	21	22	12	2	1	81	79
1	5	2	13	16	1		3	17	87	•	1		76	75		۲	- 3	5.0	60	10	3	n	4 1	•0	11	2	1	•	14	15	2	2	30	29
-			12.	1 34			- ?	41	41		1		107	15	5	•	• •	**	65	11	3	-1		6.6	11	2	- e	27	96	12		-1	23	24
-	÷.			1.55					46	4	1	- ?	5.6			-	-5	26	25					1		2	-1	- 23		11	- 5			
		-1	50	125		2	- 1	123	125		-	÷		65	1	2	11	81		14	- 1		1.2.1			ŝ	14		22	15	- 5		11	
		-2	121	104	. A			er			÷	- 1				- 2	:				÷		· · · ·	34	- 11	;				12	•		64	73
		- 1	P.		۹	4	- 1	1 46	194	9	i		•1	۹.		é.	1		75	10		• 1	÷.	ċ,	ii	ż	- 5	4.2	4.2	12	3	,	2.8	29
٠	,,	- 4	111	101	,		* *	F 1	5 *	د	1	- 1	115	115	1		÷.	.,	41	1		4	24	22	11	2	- 6	3.0	36	12	3	1	37	34
	- 1	-5	10.2	104	٩.	4	- 5	24	36	9	1	- a	÷ 1	42		ŧ	-1	111	25	1.		•	**	4.5	11	5	3	67	63	12	3	n	•1	38
:		-6		71	4	1	2	•7	9.0	2	1	- 5	69	74	9	٠	12	**	44	1.1	. 4	- 2	31	27	12	3	- 1	45	52	12	3	-1		36
2							1	- 21	11				- 22	54	•		- 1	14	11	11	÷.	1	- 11				2			- 11	:	- 11		17
4	1		41	40	q	č	÷		1 1		- 5		1.0		÷.	- 1				1.		. 1		< 1	- 11	•	- 1	51		15	÷	- 6		• • •
	1	5	72	71	4	5	÷.		12		2	÷	ér.			,				11				34		3	- 2	• (*	40	12	ų.	1	60	67
٠	1	4	1 •	17	9	5	3		*2	9	2		54	6.4		7	1	14	11	1.5		- 1	51	6.6	11	3	- 3	74	71	12		'n		47
	1	۰.	**	44		5	2	151	171	9	2	•	50	• 5	•	,	· · ·		5.4	1.		- 4	2.2	17	11	۲	- 4	12	69	12	4	- 1	* 3	78
,	1	?	35			÷	1		28	٩	2	2	79	31		,	-1	٠ :	52	11	4	- 4	51	52	11	3	- 5	24	21	12		- ?	• "	60
	- 1								24	4	- 1	1	1-10	101	٦		- 61	••	30						11	3	- 6	127	128	12		- 3		3
Â	- 1	-1		221		2			1.1.1		÷	- 1	111		- A.	1	1				- ì						3		37	12		-4		
	i	- 2	3.6	12		ś	- 3	21	21	;	÷.		1.6	150	10		2		10	1.1	- 2	;	47		- 11	-	÷.		66	12	ś	- 1		*6
	1	- 5	129	152	٩	- 5	- 4	112	112			- 1	- 1		10	÷.	3			15	Ś	÷	75	72	11		ŕ	47	46	12	Ś		15	15
÷.	1	-4	1.3.5	103		٩.	- 5	• 1	32	•	1	- 4	8 A	46	ii.		- 2		ż	10		- 1	174	1 37	11	٠	- 1	36	32	11	2	•	31	31
*	1	-3	161	164	•	ŧ	*		5+	•	- 2	- 5	145	14.0	ιú	•	1	11	6	1.0	۰.	- 2	24	32	11	•	- 2	34	37	13	÷.	1	17	11
	- ! -	-		72			1		49		2	- C.	70	12	16			* 9	4 C	10.	۴.	- '	51	65	11	•	- 3	72	74		0	- 2	104	108
÷.	-			20			1	1.				- 7	*1	10	16	- 6	- 1		32	1				75	11		- 5	46	47	- 11	c	-1	16	22
-	÷.			60		ŝ	÷				;	2	- 11		10	e.	1	114	193		2		- 24				- 2			1.1				
	2	۰.	23	27	٩	Ġ.	- 1	23	97			-			10					i.	- 2	í.	114		- 11	- 1	- 1			- i i			- 11	
	2		71	72	٩	5	- 2		65	3	÷	,	57	19	ie	'n	1.	27		10	6		32	10	- 11	4	ò	•1	84	13	ì	- 5	.,	96
4	2	1	192	147		۴	- 5	,,	75	n	٦	1	145	1 * *	10	r.	- 7	· `,	20	1.1	2	-1	57	51	11	5	- 1	46	46	11	1	1	2"	22
•	2	1	154	251	•	6	• •	16	9	٩	3		157	134	11	1	2	16	6.5	11		- 2	54	51	11	•	- 2	• • •	31	1.1	1	•	3 *	37
÷.	- <u>-</u>		30			2			59	•	1	-1	121	119	10	- 1	2	106	110	10		- 1	6.0				- 3	57	58	- 11	1	-1	- 22	70
-	5	- 1	10	9.9	-	- ;	- í -	- 22		÷	-		110		10	2	-1			1.0	÷.		- 8	23		2				- 11	-			20
	2	->	137	103		1	i	28	28	9	÷.	- 8	5.0	5.6	10	- :			100		- á	-1	51			ŝ	- 1			11	- 1		79	
h.	>	- 1	254	261		,	-1	16	65		ŝ	- 5	10	34	10	- i	13		23	ii	- è	3	110	101	ii	Ē	- 2		36	11	÷	ĩ	30	26
-	2	- 9	113	131	•	1	- 2	41	57	2	3	- 5	12	72	16	i	- 3	10.9	113			2	36	47	12	¢	3	e	L.	1.5	2	0	50	49
	•	- 5	117	114	8	7	- 1	٠.	P 5	9	3	- '		77	10	ĩ		110	iii	11		1	,	12	12	e	2	12	14	13	2	-1	•	8
	÷.	-5	20	25		,	- *	6 C	62	0	4	÷.	12	A	10	1	٠s	22	21	11	- e	^	52	12	15	0	1	92	91	13	2	- 1	45	46
- 1	÷	- /	17	16			1	4.2	*6	, ,	-	4	P5	57	10	1	• •	89	8*	11		-1	1 4	21	12	ç		25	26	13	2	- 1	*1	*0
2	- (17		2	- 2		24	25			÷.		41	10	1	-?	12	35		0			12	12	- P	-1	29	51		- ?		14	20
	÷	5		57	2	Å	- 2	54	54		÷	1	1.1	123	10		2	44	49		- 6			76	12	- P	14	10	15	- 63	- 2	-1		50
4	3	٠		4.0	9	ō	6	60	59	۹				;	16	2	5	12	13	ii	n	-+	17	13	12	r	- 4	54	55	- 11	÷	- 2	3.8	39
÷	3	•	16	22	٩	e	3	17	14	٩		- 1	é	12	10	- 2	- 5		16		3		37	37	12	D	-5	۹C	• 0	13	3	- 1	54	54
4	3	2		85		ç	2	F 5	65	۹	4	- ?	15	112	16		1	10	ĩ	11	1	3	23	19	12	1	3	14	37					
5		1	159	164	2	0	1	45	86	۰	•	- 1	35	36	11	2	6		12	11	1	2	91	38	12	1		55	51					
~	3	6	1.4	1.92	9	t,	c	74	16	•		- 4	22	23	10	- 21	-1		6 C	11	1	1	52	54	12	1	1	62	57					

sing, Martin & Levy, 1962). The hydrogen atoms were located from difference Fourier syntheses and were assigned the isotropic temperature factors of the atoms to which they were bound covalently; their positional parameters were refined in the second to last refinement cycle. The average parameter changes in the last refinement cycle were less than one third of the standard deviations estimated from the variance-covariance matrix. The residual $R = \sum ||F_{obs}| - |F_{calc}|| / \sum |F_{obs}|$ is 3.5% for the 1396 observed data and 3.9% for all the 1453 measured data.

The final atomic parameters and their estimated standard deviations are gathered in Table 1; the nomenclature has been chosen so that the parameters can easily be compared with those obtained by Aoki *et al.* (1971). Table 2 contains the observed and calculated structure amplitudes. The molecular geometric data and projections of the crystal structure along the a and b axis respectively, are presented in Figs. 2, 3 and 4, and Fig. 5 gives a stereoscopic view of the complex.

Discussion

(a) Geometry of the L-arginine molecule

The non-hydrogen bond distances and angles presented in Fig. 2 are in agreement with data obtained from X-ray crystallographic studies of the same arginine complex (Aoki *et al.*, 1971), of L-arginine dihydrate, of its hydrobromide monohydrate and of its hydrochloride (cited by Ramachandran, Mazumdar, Venkatesan & Lakshminarayanan, 1966) and of its hydrochloride monohydrate (Dow, Jensen, Mazumdar, Srinivasan & Ramachandran, 1970). The L-arginine molecule in the phosphate monohydrate complex is deprotonated at the carboxyl group and protonated at the guanidyl and at the C^{α}-amino groups while the phosphate ion carries one negative charge. The geometry of the carboxyl group and the lengthening of the C(2)–N(1)⁺ bond with respect to a nominal C–N bond distance of 1.472₅ Å (Sutton, 1965) has been discussed in a review on amino acid structures (Hahn, 1957). N(1) is 0.794 Å from the plane through O(5), O(6), C(2), C(1), rendering the dihedral angle O(6)–C(1)–C(2)–N(1) – 35.4°.

The four atoms comprising the guanidinium group are also coplanar within experimental error, and C(5) with only 0.112 Å deviation from this least-squares mean plane is *cis*-planar with atom N(4) (Fig. 6). The bond length C(6)-N(2) at 1.315 Å is marginally shorter $\Delta/\sigma=3$) than the bonds C(6)-N(3) at 1.326 and C(6)-N(4) at 1.324 Å. A similar distribution of the guanidinium C-N distances was also observed in the crystal structure of L-arginine hydrochloride monohydrate (Dow *et al.*, 1970).

The 126·8° angle C(5)–N(2)–C(6) and the corresponding angles in all the above mentioned L-arginine structures, were found to be larger than the expected 113° for a nitrogen [N(2)] atom involved in a single and a double bond (Pauling, 1962). The reason for the spreading of this angle could be steric interactions between the eclipsed, *cis*-planar C(5) and N(4) groups, since the C(5)···N(4) distance of 2·893 Å is shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii (3·50 Å) for these two atoms.

It is striking that the dihedral angle C(3)-C(4)-

C(5)-N(2) has been found to be *trans* in all L-arginine structures investigated crystallographically but *gauche* in the phosphate complex and in some high molecular proteins (see also Aoki *et al.*, 1971). It was also inferred from theoretical considerations (Ponnuswamy, Lakshminarayanan & Sasisekharan, 1971) that the C(3)-C(4)-C(5)-N(2) dihedral angle can assume not only the *trans* conformation but ethane-like staggered *gauche* conformations as well, corresponding to a less extended hydrocarbon chain than in the *trans* form.

(b) Geometry of the phosphate group

The bond distances within the phosphate group indicate the charge distribution and the location of the hydrogen atoms attached to two of the oxygen atoms, O(3) and O(4). The P–O bonds involving the oxygen atoms carrying the negative charge, O(1) and O(2), are shorter ($\Delta/\sigma=30$) than the P–O bonds of the two P–O–H groups and the angle between them, O(1)– P–O(2), 115·0°, is greater than the other O–P–O angles (Fig. 2). One may assume, therefore, that the phosphate ion has the structure illustrated in Fig. 2 (Corbridge, 1966).

(c) Hydrogen bonding

The hydrogen bonds deduced for this structure on the basis of located hydrogen atoms and short intermolecular distances are indicated by dashed lines in Figs. 3 and 4, and their geometrical data are listed in Table 3. In Fig. 6 some of the atoms of the complex are



Fig. 2. Bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) in the L-arginine phosphate monohydrate structure. The subscripts indicate estimated standard deviations σ of the last decimal digit. The bracketed bond distances in the phosphate anion group refer to values obtained after the correction for thermal motion in which the oxygen atoms are assumed to 'ride' on the phosphorous atom (Busing, Martin & Levy, 1964). The estimated standard deviations for bond distances and angles involving hydrogen atoms are about 0.08 Å and 5° respectively. The angles involving protons which were not given in Fig. 2 are in the range $104 \pm 10^\circ$.

schematically projected onto the plane through the guanidinium group. The larger numerals indicate the interatomic $N \cdots O$ distances (Å) while smaller numerals refer to deviations (Å) of atoms from the guanidinium plane.

The guanidinium nitrogen atoms, N(2), N(3) and N(4), are involved, as proton donors, in a number of N-H···O contacts where the N···O or H···O distances are smaller than or equal to the sum of the corresponding van der Waals radii, 3.0 and 2.6 Å respectively (Pauling, 1962).

N(4) is hydrogen bonded to O(W), 2.901 Å, and O(3), 2.851 Å, while N(3) is involved in hydrogen bonds to O(6), 2.942 Å and O(2), 2.970 Å. The N(3)...

O(2) contact is rather unusual since the angles H(12)– N(3)···O(2) and H(13)–N(3)···O(2), 56° and 72°, exceed by far the usual angle of ~20° (Table 3; Donohue, 1968; Fuller, 1959). A further short intermolecular contact is N(3)···O(3) at 3·372 Å; since in this case the N(3)–H(13) distance of 0.82 Å is inordinately short, the H(13)···O(3) distance of 2·69 Å might reduce to about 2·5 Å if an N(3)–H(13) bond length of about 1·0 Å were taken into consideration. Thus, the H(13)···O(1) distance of 2·5 Å is on the verge of being called a hydrogen bonding contact.

N(2) is involved in two short contacts: N(2)···O(W), 3·104 Å and N(2)···O(6), 3·07 Å. Were the N(2)–H(11) bond assumed to be about 1·0 Å, a more realistic

Table 3. Geometrical data for short intermolecular contacts Contacts as indicated in Figs. 3, 4 and 5.

				Distance		Angle
D	A	Proton	$D \cdots A$	<i>D</i> H	H · · · <i>A</i>	$H \longrightarrow D \cdots A$
O[P(1)]	O(1)	H(16)	2∙604 Å	0∙90 Å	1·73 Å	11°
O[P(4)]	O[P(3)]	H(17)	2.551	1.01	1.56	11
O(W)	O(2)	H(18)	2.796	0.83	1.96	4
N(1)	O[P(2)]	H(10)	2.800	0.91	1.94	16
N(1)	O[P(3)]	H(8)	2.831	1.02	1.86	15
N(1)	O[P(2)]	H(9)	2.798	0.85	1.96	7
N(2)	O(1)	H(11)	3.071	0.80	2.39	28
N(2)	O(W)	H(11)	3.104	0.80	2.54	40
N(3)	O[P(1)]	H(12)	2.851	0.84	2.02	5
N(3)	O(W)	H(13)	2.901	0.75	2.20	18
N(4)	O[P(2)]	H(14)	2.070	0.82	2.84	73
N(4)	O[P(2)]	H(15)	2.970	0.63	2.68	56
N(4)	O (1)	H(15)	2.942	0.63	2.36	19
N(4)	O[P(1)]	H(14)	3.372	0.82	2.69	42



Fig. 3. Projection of the L-arginine phosphate monohydrate structure along the *a* axis. Hydrogen bonds are indicated by dashed lines.

figure than the 0.80 Å of Table 3, the $O(W) \cdots H(11)$ and $O(6) \cdots H(11)$ distances would be about 2.35 and 2.20 Å respectively, *i.e.* considerably less than the van der Waals $O \cdots H$ contact of 2.6 Å. One might deduce, therefore, that the N(2)-H(11) group, being involved in two short contacts with O(W) and O(6), forms a bifurcated hydrogen bond (Donohue, 1968; Aoki *et al.*, 1971).

The hydrogen bonds involving the carboxyl and N(1)-ammonium group, and the phosphate and water molecule are indicated from the data given in Table 3 and Figs. 3 and 4.

(d) Packing scheme

The molecular packing within the L-arginine phosphate monohydrate structure indicates that screw-axis related L-arginine molecules form a hydrophobic zone along the *b* axis which is surrounded by hydrophilic phosphate groups and water molecules (Fig. 4). The phosphate groups are arranged in sheets parallel to the *b*,*c* plane at a=0 and $a=\frac{1}{2}$, and are hydrogen bonded to each other by an O(4)-H(19)...O(1) bond and by the N(1)⁺ ammonium group as a mediator.



Fig. 4. As Fig. 3, but projected along the crystallographic *b* axis.



Fig. 6. A schematic projection of some atoms on the guanidinium plane, *i.e.* the mean plane through N(2), N(3), N(4)and C(6). Large numerals indicate short intermolecular contacts while small numerals refer to deviations of the atoms from the guanidinium plane.

(e) The L-arginine phosphate complex as a model for ionic bonds involved in basic protein-nucleic acid interactions

It has been postulated from models and from binding studies that the ionic bond between the L-arginine side groups in basic proteins and the diester phosphate groups in DNA should be symmetrical (Suwalsky, 1968; Lewin, 1969).



A similar type of bonding was observed in the crystal structure of arginine dihydrate (Karle & Karle, 1964) involving the guanidyl and carboxyl groups, both charged, of adjacent molecules.

In the L-arginine phosphate complex, however, this type of hydrogen bonding is not realized. It is assumed that the abundance of N-H and O-H groups is



Fig. 5. Stereoscopic view along the b axis of the arginine phosphate monohydrate complex, illustrating the 50% probability thermal ellipsoids. The plot was performed using ORTEP (Johnson, 1965).

responsible for the formation of the observed intricate hydrogen bonding scheme. From this structure it is clear that the symmetrical guanidinium-phosphate interaction is by no means so favored that it is formed under all circumstances; hydrogen bonding schemes other than the proposed symmetrical arrangement are consequently also worthy of consideration in the case of basic protein-DNA interactions.

We are grateful to Professor F. Cramer for his interest in and support of this structural investigation, to Dr B. Krebs for stimulating discussions, to Miss U. Wittenberg for excellent technical assistance and to Dr C. H. Schwalbe and Dr P. C. Manor for critically reading the manuscript. All the computations were carried out with a UNIVAC 1108 Computer at the Gesellschaft für wissenschaftliche Datenverarbeitung, Göttingen, and were supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Max-Planck-Gesellschaft.

References

- Аокі, К., Nagamo, K. & Іітака, Y. (1971). Acta Cryst. B27, 11.
- BUSING, W. R., MARTIN, K. O. & LEVY, H. A. (1962). ORFLS. Report ORNL-TM-305, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- BUSING, W. R., MARTIN, K. O. & LEVY, H. A. (1964). ORFFE. Report ORNL-TM-306, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

- CORBRIDGE, D. E. C. (1966). *Topics in Phosphorus Chemistry*, p. 208 ff. Edited by E. J. Griffith. New York: Interscience.
- DONOHUE, J. (1968). Structural Chemistry and Molecular Biology, p. 450. Edited by A. RICH & N. DAVIDSON. San Francisco: Freeman.
- Dow, J., Jensen, L. H., MAZUMDAR, S. K., SRINIVASAN, R. & RAMACHANDRAN, G. N. (1970). Acta Cryst. B26, 1662. FULLER, W. (1959). J. Phys. Chem. 63, 1705. HAHN, T. (1957). Z. Kristallogr. 109, 438.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report BRNL-3794, Oak
- Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- KARLE, I. L. & KARLE, J. (1964). Acta Cryst. 17, 835.
 LENG, M. & FELSENFELD, G. (1966). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S. 56, 1325.
- LEWIN, S. (1969). J. Theor. Biol. 23, 279.
- MILLER, I. R. & INBAR, L. (1969). Biopolymers, 7, 619. PAULING, L. (1962). Die Natur der chemischen Bindung, p.
- 137. Weinheim: Verlag Chemie.
- PONNUSWAMY, P. K., LAKSHMINARAYANAN, A. V. & SASI-SEKHARAN, V. (1971). Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 229, 596.
- RAMACHANDRAN, G. N., MAZUMDAR, S. K., VENKATESAN, K. & LAKSHMINARAYANAN, A. V. (1966). J. Mol. Biol. 15, 232.
- STOUT, G. W. & JENSEN, L. H. (1968). X-ray Structure Determination. New York: Macmillan.
- SUTTON, L. E. (1965). Interatomic Distances and Molecular Configuration in Molecules and Ions, Supplement, Special Publication No. 18, London: The Chemical Society.
- SUWALSKY, M. (1968). Thesis: The Weizmann Institute. WAGNER, K. G. (1971). Abstracts of the First European Biophysics Congress. In the press.
- WAGNER, K. G. & ARAV, R. (1968). Biochemistry, 7, 1771.

Acta Cryst. (1972). B28, 2244

Molecular Conformation of the Thyroxine Analogue 3,5-Diiodo-L-thyronine N-Methylacetamide Complex (1:1)

BY VIVIAN CODY, WILLIAM L. DUAX AND DORITA A. NORTON

Crystallography Department, Medical Foundation of Buffalo, 73 High Street, Buffalo, New York 14203, U.S.A.

(Received 18 October 1971 and in revised form 14 February 1972)

The crystal and molecular structure of the thyroxine analogue 3,5-diiodo-L-thyronine has been determined as a 1:1 complex with N-methylacetamide ($P2_1$; Z=2, a=7.988, b=22.317, c=5.995 Å and $\beta=$ 95.54°). The structural analysis shows the planes of the two phenyl rings of the thyronine molecule to be mutually perpendicular, as expected from stereochemical interaction studies. The amino acid backbone conformation, described by the rotation about the C^{α}-C^{β} bond, is 300°, showing a sterically preferred conformation. The complex is held together by a hydrogen bonding system where the amine nitrogen atom is hydrogen bonded to three oxygen atoms in a tetrahedral manner. There is also an unusually short iodine-carbonyl (I···O=C <) contact distance of 3.03 Å.

Introduction

Extensive studies of the molecular conformations of many amino acids and polypeptides have been made in an effort to understand structural requirements for biological activity. One such investigation has centered upon efforts to establish structure-functional requirements for the activity of thyroid hormones. (Jorgensen, 1964; Money, Kumaoka & Rawson, 1962; Barker & Shimada, 1964; Selenkow & Asper, 1955; Jorgensen & Wright, 1970). Because little crystallographic work has been done on these hormones the